JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

18-cv-919

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		$\wedge \wedge$	D	EFENDANTS		3	919	**
Keino Johnson,			Ric	hard Kagan,				
(b) County of Residence o	f First Listed Plaintiff	hiladelphia	с	ounty of Residence				
(EX	CCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		OTE. INLAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIF NDEMNATION CAS		•	OF
	1	/	l N	OTÉ: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOLVE	ED.	E LOCATION	OI*
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Number	, /	A	ttorneys (If Known)				
Brauer & Schapiro, LLC; Newtown, PA 18940; Te		Road, Suite 2B,						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		ENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PA	RTIES (		
☐ I U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question		(For L	iversity Cases Only) PT	F DEF		and One Box j	for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of T	his State		orated <i>or</i> Prin Business In Th		04 04
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of A	another State		orated and Pr Business In A		<b>5 5</b>
			Citizen or S Foreign (	•				□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly)	FORFE	TURE/PENALTY	Click here for		f Suit Code D	escriptions. R STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		g Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 US		☐ 375 False C	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	of F	roperty 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal		☐ 376 Qui Ta	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability  367 Health Care/	☐ 690 Oth	er	28 USC 157		3729(a ☐ 400 State R	(Leapportionment
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RI	GHTS	☐ 410 Antitru	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent		☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Commo	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal	-		☐ 835 Patent - Abbr		☐ 460 Deport	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New Drug Ap  840 Trademark	pplication		eer Influenced and t Organizations
(Excludes Veterans)  ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	гү	LABOR	SOCIAL SECUI	RITY	☐ 480 Consur	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud		Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)		☐ 490 Cable/S	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	Act ☐ 720 Lab	or/Management	<ul> <li>☐ 862 Black Lung (</li> <li>☐ 863 DIWC/DIWV</li> </ul>		Exchai	ties/Commodities/ nge
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		ations	☐ 864 SSID Title X			Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		way Labor Act ily and Medical	□ 865 RSI (405(g))		☐ 891 Agricu ☐ 893 Enviror	nmental Matters
	Medical Malpractice			ve Act				m of Information
REAL PROPERTY  210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		er Labor Litigation bloyee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. I		Act  896 Arbitra	ition
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee		me Security Act	or Defendant			istrative Procedure
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence			26 USC 7609			view or Appeal of
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability /	443 Housing/ Accommodations	□ 530 General			26 USC 760	9	950 Constit	y Decision tutionality of
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty		MIGRATION			State S	tatutes
į	Employment  446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:  540 Mandamus & Other		ralization Application or Immigration				
1	Other	☐ 550 Civil Rights	Acti	ons				
1	48 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainec -						
7	/	Conditions of						
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ν	Confinement			l			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	• /		• 4 5 4 4 4	<b>.</b>	7.	N.C. 147 17-4-2		2.34.10.11
	moved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reinstated Reopened	11411014	r District	Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File
$\bigcup$	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are	e filing (Do not	cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		.C. § 12181	1 et sea
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	vise: Violations of the	e Americans	with Disabilities	s Act		3 1210	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMA	ND \$		YES only in EMAND:	if demanded i	n complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S)					4	fAR - 1	2018
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUM			17.0
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATT		CORD	<del></del> >			10 -5
February 23	, 2018	Eric Brauer, Es	iq. 47	>/				0 1

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



Attorney I.D.#

9194

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: Keino Johnson 5051 Walnut	Street Philadelphia PA 19139
Address of Plaintiff: Keino Johnson 5051 Walnut Hoagies Heroes, LCC at 2409 Anthon Address of Defendant: Richard Kagan at 326 South Street	y Drive, Broomall PA 19008 + Phila-PA 19147
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Prima Hogaics	
326 South Street Phi	dditional Space) 1914 T
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation ar	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes V No V
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes Note
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:  Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions.	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	<b>-</b> -
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior si	Yes□ No □
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact of grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court?	an pending of within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No.
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Notti
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	<ol> <li>5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury</li> </ol>
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7.   Products Liability
8.   Habeas Corpus	8.   Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10.  Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. MAll other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Title III of the ADA	
ARBITRATION CERTI	
Check Appropriate Ca , counsel of record do hereby certify	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Rellef other than monetary damages is sought.	***
DATE: 2-24-18 WILLIAM	<u>43624</u>
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	•
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or versely as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in the curt - 1 201
except as noted above.	
DATE: 276-18 Cull	43624

Attorney-at-Law



#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Keino Johnson	: 1	CIVIL ACTIO	ON
Hoagies Heroes, LLC and Richard Kagan		NO.	919
	and Dalay Badwati	Dlan of this count	annual for
accordance with the Civil Justice Expension in the Civil Public Ex			

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

#### **SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:**

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241	through § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a decision on the security Beauty Bea	of the Secretary of Health enefits.	\( \( \)
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	uired to be designated for arbitrat	ion under Local Civil Rule 5	3.2. (A)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal injury or	property damage from	
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into tracks (s complex and that need special caside of this form for a detailed ex	or intense management by	( )
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into any o	ne of the other tracks.	( )
2-26-18 Date	Eric Brauer Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff Attorney for	····
	Attorney-at-law	•	
215-953-9100	215-434-1198	eric @ ebrau	<u>ierlaw</u> .com
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

BRAUER & SCHAPIRO, LLC
638 Newtown Yardley Road
Suite 2B
Newtown, PA 18940
(215) 953-9100(P)
(215) 434-1198(F)
eric@ebrauerlaw.com

February 26, 2018

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court
Eastern District of Pennsylvania
601 Market Street, Room 2609
Philadelphia, PA 19106

RE: Keino Johnson vs. Hoagies Heroes, LLC and Richard Kagan

Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed herewith please find a Civil Action Complaint, together with a Civil Cover Sheet, a Case Management Tracking Form, a designation form, two Summons Forms in Civil Action, a disc with pdf format and a check in the amount of \$400.00 for filing.

Kindly file the Complaint in accordance with the rules of the United States District Court.

Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

Eric B. Brauer

EBB/dk

Enclosure

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEINO JOHNSON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,		
	) CIVIL ACTION	
VS.	)	
	) FILE No	
HOAGIES HEROES, LLC and	)	
RICHARD KAGAN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

#### **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW, KEINO JOHNSON, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this, his Complaint against Defendants, HOAGIES HEROES, LLC and RICHARD KAGAN, pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* ("ADA") and the ADA's Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 ("ADAAG"). In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows this Court as follows:

# **JURISDICTION**

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, based upon Defendants' failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff KEINO JOHNSON (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is, and has been at all times relevant to the instant matter, a natural person residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Philadelphia County).
  - 3. Plaintiff is disabled as defined by the ADA.
- 4. Plaintiff is required to traverse in a wheelchair and is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to: walking, standing, grabbing, grasping and/or pinching.
  - 5. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes.
- 6. Defendant, HOAGIES HEROES, LLC (hereinafter "HOAGIES HEROES, LLC"), is a Pennsylvania domestic liability company, and transacts business in the State of Pennsylvania and within this judicial district.
- 7. Defendant, HOAGIES HEROES, LLC, operates a business located at 326 South Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147, doing business as "Primo Hoagies," referenced herein as the "Facility."
- 8. Defendant, HOAGIES HEROES, LLC, is the lessee (or sub-lessee) of the real property and improvements that are the subject of this action.
- 9. Defendant, HOAGIES HEROES, LLC, may be property served with process via service at: 2409 Anthony Drive, Broomall, PA 19008.

- 10. Defendant RICHARD KAGAN (hereinafter "RICHARD KAGAN") is an individual and transacts business in the state of Pennsylvania and within this judicial district.
- 11. Defendant, RICHARD KAGAN, is the owner and/or operator of the real property and improvements that the Facility(ies) is/are situated upon and that is the subject of this action, referenced herein as the "Property".
- 12. Defendant, RICHARD KAGAN, may be properly served with process via its Owner for service, to wit: Richard Kagan, 326 South Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147 (Philadelphia County).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. On or about November, 2017, Plaintiff was a customer at Primo Hoagies and also attempted to utilize the restroom at the Facility.
  - 14. Plaintiff lives in the near vicinity of the Facility and Property.
- 15. Plaintiff's access to the business(es) located 326 South Street, Philadelphia, PA 19147, Pennsylvania County Property Appraiser's parcel number OPA 871012450 ("the Property,") and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, foods, drinks, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein were denied and/or limited because of his disabilities, and he will be denied and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendants are compelled to

remove the physical barriers to access and correct the ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including those set forth in this Complaint.

- 16. Plaintiff has visited the Facility at least once before and intends on revisiting the Facility once the Facility is made accessible.
- 17. Plaintiff intends to revisit the Facility and Property to purchase goods and/or services.
- 18. Plaintiff travelled to the Facility and Property as a customer, encountered the barriers to access at the Facility and Property that are detailed in this Complaint, engaged those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access present at the Facility and Property.
  - 19. Plaintiff resides one-half mile from the Facility and Property.

# COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA AND ADAAG

- 20. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq*.
  - 21. Congress found, among other things, that:
  - (i) some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole is growing older;
  - (ii) historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate

individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem;

- (iii) discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas as employment, housing public accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting, and access to public services;
- (iv) individuals with disabilities continually encounter various forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, overprotective rules and policies, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices, exclusionary qualification standards and criteria, segregation, and relegation to lesser service, programs, activities, benefits, jobs, or other opportunities; and
- (v) the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous, and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and nonproductivity.

# 42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1) - (3), (5) and (9).

- 22. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:
- (i) provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- (ii) provide a clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and

\* \* \* \* \*

(iv) invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day-to-day by people with disabilities.

#### 42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1)(2) and (4).

- 23. The congressional legislation provided places of public accommodation one and a half years from the enactment of the ADA to implement its requirements.
- 24. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).
  - 25. The Facility is a public accommodation and service establishment.
  - 26. The Property is a public accommodation and service establishment.
- 27. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice and Office of Attorney General promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
- 28. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or by January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*; 28

C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

- 29. The Facility must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
- 30. The Property must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
- 31. Plaintiff has attempted to, and has to the extent possible, accessed the Facility and the Property in his capacity as a customer of the Facility and Property, but could not fully do so because of his disabilities resulting from the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.
- 32. Plaintiff intends to visit the Facility and Property again in the very near future as a customer in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations commonly offered at the Facility and Property, but will be unable to fully do so because of his disability and the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property that preclude and/or limit his access to the Facility and

Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

- 33. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff (and others with disabilities) by denying his access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of the Facility and Property, as prohibited by, and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 34. Defendants will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendants are compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at the Facility and Property, including those specifically set forth herein, and make the Facility and Property accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities.
- 35. A specific list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which Plaintiff experienced and/or observed that precluded and/or limited Plaintiff's access to the Facility and Property and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of the Facility and Property include, but are not limited to:

#### (a) ACCESSIBLE ELEMENTS:

- (i) There are changes in level in the Property and/or Facility exceeding ½ (one-half) inch that are not ramped in violation of section 303.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. Specifically, there is an approximately 8 (eight) inch vertical rise at the entrance to the Facility that is not ramped, thus rendering the Facility inaccessible. This violation made it dangerous and difficult for Plaintiff to access the units of the Property.
- (ii) The doorway of the accessible entrance is not level in violation of section 404.2.4.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to access the units of the Property.
- (iii) The interior of the Facility has a bar lacking any portion of the counter that has a maximum height of 34 (thirty-four) inches from the finished floor in violation of section 902.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, all portions of the bar exceed 34 (thirty-four) inches in height from the finished floor. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to properly transact business at the Facility.
- (iv) Due to the accessible barrier present at the accessible entrance, the Facility lacks at least one accessible route provided within the site to

- the public streets and sidewalks in violation of section 206.2.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (v) The Facility lacks an access route from site arrival points such as the public streets and sidewalks to the accessible entrance in violation of section 206.2.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (vi) There is not at least one accessible entrance to each tenant space in the building that complies with section 404 of the 2010 ADAAG standards which is a violation of section 206.4.5 of the 2010 ADAAG Standards.
- (vii) The interior of the Facility has sales and services counters lacking any portion of the counter that has a maximum height of 36 (thirty-six) inches from the finished floor in violation of section 904.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, all portions of the sales and service counter exceed 36 (thirty-six) inches in height from the finished floor. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to properly transact business at the Facility.
- (viii) Defendants fails fail to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all facilities are readily accessible to and usable by disabled individuals.

#### **RESTROOMS**

- (i) The restrooms lack proper door hardware in violation of section 404.2.7 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to utilize the restroom facilities.
- (ii) The door of the restroom entrance of the Facility lacks a proper minimum maneuvering clearance, due to the proximity of the adjacent wall, in violation of section 404.2.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.

  This made it difficult for Plaintiff to safely utilize the restroom facilities.
- (iii) The paper towel dispenser in the restroom is located outside the prescribed vertical reach ranges set forth in section 308.2.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to safely utilize the restroom facilities.
- (iv) The mirror in the bathrooms exceeds the maximum height permitted by Section 603.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for the Plaintiff to properly utilize public features of the restroom.
- (v) The hand operated flush control is not located on the open side of the accessible toilet in violation of section 604.6 of the 2010 ADAAG

- standards. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to safely utilize the restroom facilities.
- (vi) There is a vertical rise exceeding ½ (one-half) inch at the threshold to the door leading to the restrooms in violation of section 404.2.5 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to safely utilize the restroom facilities.
- 36. Due to the barriers to access present at the Property and Facility, despite best efforts and an intent to purchase items, Plaintiff was unable to access the Property and Facility due to his disability.
- 37. The violations enumerated above may not be a complete list of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at the Facility and Property.
- 38. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Facility and Property in order to determine all of the discriminatory conditions present at the Facility and Property in violation of the ADA.
- 39. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations alleged herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without significant difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42

U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.

- 40. All of the violations alleged herein are readily achievable to modify to bring the Facility and Property into compliance with the ADA.
- 41. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because the nature and cost of the modifications are relatively low.
- 42. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at the Facility and Property is readily achievable because Defendants have the financial resources to make the necessary modifications.
- 43. Upon information and good faith belief, the Facility and Property have been altered since 2010.
- 44. In instances where the 2010 ADAAG standards do not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standards apply, and all of the alleged violations set forth herein can be modified to comply with the 1991 ADAAG standards.
- 45. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at the Facility and Property, including

those alleged herein.

- 46. Plaintiff's requested relief serves the public interest.
- 47. The benefit to Plaintiff and the public of the relief outweighs any resulting detriment to Defendants.
- 48. Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of litigation from Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12188 and 12205.
- 49. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), this Court is provided authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including the issuance of an Order directing Defendants to modify the Facility and Property to the extent required by the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- (a) That the Court find Defendant, HOAGIES HEROES, LLC, in violation of the ADA and ADAAG
- (b) That the Court find Defendant, RICHARD KAGAN, in violation of the ADA and ADAAG;
- (c) That the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from continuing their discriminatory practices;
- (d) That the Court issue an Order requiring Defendants to (i) remove the physical barriers to access and (ii) alter the subject Facility to make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the

- extent required by the ADA;
- (e) That the Court award Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs; and
- (f) That the Court grant such further relief as just and equitable in light of the circumstances.

Dated: February 23, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

Elec

Eric Brauer, Esq.

Pennsylvania Bar No. 43624

Brauer & Schapiro, LLC

638 Newtown Yardley Road, Suite 2B

Newtown, PA 18940

Tel: (215) 953-9100

eric@ebrauerlaw.com